

Zeta(3) is Irrational Number and Sequentially Transcendental Number

H. Vic Dannon

vic0@comcast.net

February 2023

Abstract A number is algebraic if it is a zero of a polynomial in integer coefficients.

The algebraic numbers form a field with respect to addition, and multiplication. The sum, and product of two algebraic numbers is an algebraic number.

A number that is not algebraic, transcends algebraic numbers, and is called transcendental. The following are believed to be transcendental

e (Hermit),

π (Lindemann),

e^{rational} (Hermit),

$e^{\text{algebraic}}$ (Lindemann),

$(\text{algebraic})^{\text{irrational algebraic}}$ (Gelfond-Schneider).

$$e^{\pi} = (e^{i\pi})^{-i} = (-1)^{-i}$$

$$e^{n\pi} = (e^{i\pi})^{-ni} = (-1)^{-ni}$$

Recently, we showed¹ that Euler's Constant γ is a limit of a sequence of Transcendental numbers

Riemann's $\zeta(3)$ is Euler's infinite sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots$$

The series sum has a denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers. But there is no number that is divided by all the primes. Hence, no number for the denominator of a rational number that equals the series. And we conclude that

$\zeta(3)$ is not a rational number.

The same argument applies to show that $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$ are Not rational numbers.

Euler computed $\zeta(3)$ with his summation formula.

$$\zeta(3) = 1.2020569031595942853\dots$$

Euler sought an answer² to the question whether the $\zeta(3)$ Series sums up to a rational number times π^3 .

And Nahin, wrote a book³ dedicated to that question.

Recently, we answered Euler's question in the negative. We showed⁴ that $\zeta(3)$ does Not sum up to a rational number times π^3 .

¹ H. Vic Dannon, "[Euler's Gamma is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 19, No.3, August 2023

² Euler's letters to James Stirling in "James Stirling, This about Series and such things" Scottish Academic Press 1988, pp. 142-151.

³ Paul J. Nahin, "In Pursuit of Zeta-3, The World's Most Mysterious Unsolved Mathematical Problem", Princeton University Press, 2021.

⁴ H. Vic Dannon, "[All the \$\pi^3\$ series, and the \$\zeta\(3\)\$ series](#)". Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No. 4, November 2022.

We show here that

$\zeta(3)$ is Not a Liouville number.

And we cannot say that $\zeta(3)$ is transcendental on account of its being a Liouville number which it is not.

Plouffe⁵ gave a formula for $\zeta(3)$ by

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{7}{180} \pi^3 - 2 \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^3} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{4^3} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi} - 1} + \dots \right]$$

Using this expansion, we show that

$\zeta(3)$ is the limit of partial sums $\zeta_j(3)$ of transcendentals.

This **Does Not** mean that $\zeta(3)$ is transcendental But that

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite j ,

the partial sum $\zeta_j(3)$ is a transcendental number.

Indeed, a sequence of transcendental numbers need not converge to a transcendental number.

In 2022, we derived⁶ an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 + \\ + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + \dots$$

where B_n = Bernoulli Numbers

⁵ Simon Plouffe, "Identities Inspired by Ramanujan Notebooks" July 1998, <https://web.archive.org/web/20090130142844/http://www.lacim.uqam.ca/~plouffe/identities.html>

⁶ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

Since π is transcendental, the Partial Sums of the Archimedes Series for 1 are transcendental numbers that converge to the algebraic number 1

And the

Transcendental partial sums 1_n transform to Algebraic 1

We shall say that besides being an Algebraic Number,

1 is a Sequentially Transcendental Number

That is, there is a sequence of transcendentals that converges to 1.

In fact, for an infinite hyper-real N we cannot compute the algebraic partial sum with N transcendental terms.

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum 1_n is Transcendental.

Similarly, for $\zeta(3)$

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite j ,

the partial sum $\zeta_j(3)$ is a transcendental number.

And Similarly,

$\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \dots$, are sequentially transcendental numbers.

This leads us to discuss the meaning of Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence.

And we conclude that

Sequential Transcendence is

a superior characterization of a number.

Contents

1. $\zeta(2), \zeta(4), \zeta(6), \dots$ are transcendental numbers
2. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$ are Irrational numbers
3. $\zeta(3)$ is Not a Liouville Number
4. Ramanujan-Plouffe $\zeta(3)$
5. $\zeta(3)$ is Sequentially Transcendental number
6. $\zeta(3)e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental number
7. $\zeta(3)\pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental number
8. $\zeta(3) + e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental number
9. $\zeta(3) + \pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental number
10. Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence

Appendix, Transcendental Numbers

References

1. **$\zeta(2), \zeta(4), \zeta(6), \dots$ are Transcendental Numbers**

$$\zeta(2) = 1.6449340668482264364\dots = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2$$

$$\zeta(4) = 1.0823232337111381915\dots = \frac{1}{90}\pi^4$$

$$\zeta(6) = 1.0173430619844491397\dots = \frac{1}{945}\pi^6$$

$$\zeta(8) = 1.0040773561979443393\dots = (\text{rational})\pi^8$$

$$\zeta(10) = 1.0009945751278180853\dots = (\text{rational})\pi^{10}$$

$$\zeta(12) = 1.0002460865533080482\dots = (\text{rational})\pi^{12}$$

$$\zeta(14) = 1.0000612481350587048\dots = (\text{rational})\pi^{14}$$

$$\zeta(16) = 1.0000152822594086518\dots = (\text{rational})\pi^{16}$$

.....

$$\zeta(2k) = (\text{rational})\pi^{2k}$$

Any power of π is transcendental. Because

$$\pi^n = \text{algebraic} \Rightarrow \pi = \sqrt[n]{\text{algebraic}} = \text{algebraic}$$

2. **$\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$ are Irrational**

$$\zeta(3) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots \text{is irrational}$$

Proof: For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\zeta_n(3) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^3}$$

sums up to the quotient

$$\frac{p_n}{(n!)^3}.$$

For an infinite hyper-real N ,

$$\zeta_N(3) \text{ has a common denominator } (N!)^3$$

where $N =$ infinite hyper-real.

That is, a common denominator that is the product of all the natural numbers,

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots = N!$$

being cubed.

This denominator includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number q that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\zeta(3)$.

Therefore,

$\zeta(3)$ is Not a rational number.

That is,

$\zeta(3)$ is Irrational. \square

Similarly, for any $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\zeta(2k + 1) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{2k+1}} + \frac{1}{4^{2k+1}} + \dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(5) = 1.0369277551433699263\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(7) = 1.0083492773819228268\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(9) = 1.0020083928260822144\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(11) = 1.0004941886041194645\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(13) = 1.0001227133475784891\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

$$\zeta(15) = 1.0000305882363070204\dots = \text{irrational number}$$

.....

3. **$\zeta(3)$ is Not a Liouville Number**

Liouville showed that If

α is the zero of a reduced polynomial

$$P_n(x) \text{ of order } n,$$

and if α is the limit of a sequence of rational numbers,

$$\frac{p_m}{q_m},$$

so that p_m , and q_m are relatively prime,

And there is a constant $C_m > 0$ so that for

$$q_m > C_m$$

Then,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| > \left(\frac{1}{q_m} \right)^{n+1}$$

The negation of this statement is a criteria for transcendence.

If

τ is the limit of a sequence of rational numbers,

$$\frac{p_m}{q_m},$$

so that

p_m , and q_m are relatively prime,

and if for each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\boxed{\left| \tau - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| < \left(\frac{1}{q_m} \right)^{m+1}}$$

Then, $\tau = \text{transcendental}$

The partial sums of Euler's expansion of $\zeta(3)$ are such rationals

$$\frac{p_m}{q_m} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^3} = \frac{p_m}{(m!)^3}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{(m!)^3}$$

$$\left| \zeta(3) - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| = \frac{1}{(m+1)^3} + \frac{1}{(m+2)^3} + \dots$$

is not bounded by $\left(\frac{1}{q_m} \right)^{m+1} = \frac{1}{(m!)^{3(m+1)}}$ for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Therefore, $\zeta(3)$ is not a Liouville Number.

4.

Ramanujan-Plouffe $\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$

Inspired by Ramanujan Notebook II, p.293, formulas 25.1, 25.2, 25.3, Plouffe⁷ expanded $\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$ by

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{7}{180} \pi^3 - 2 \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^3} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{4^3} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi} - 1} + \dots \right]$$

$$\zeta(5) = \frac{5}{1470} \pi^5 - \frac{3024}{1470} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^5} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^5} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \dots \right] + \frac{84}{1470} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} + 1} + \frac{1}{2^5} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} + 1} + \frac{1}{3^5} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} + 1} + \dots \right]$$

$$\zeta(7) = \frac{19}{56700} \pi^7 - \frac{113400}{1470} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^7} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^7} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \dots \right]$$

.....

Plouffe expansions have the form

$$\zeta(2j + 1) = \frac{l_{2j+1}}{k_{2j+1}} \pi^{2j+1} - \frac{m_{2j+1}}{k_{2j+1}} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^{2j+1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^{2j+1}} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \dots \right] + \frac{n_{2j+1}}{k_{2j+1}} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} + 1} + \frac{1}{2^{2j+1}} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} + 1} + \frac{1}{3^{2j+1}} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} + 1} + \dots \right]$$

accompanied by the following table⁸

$2j + 1$	k_{2j+1}	l_{2j+1}	m_{2j+1}	n_{2j+1}
----------	------------	------------	------------	------------

⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Particular_values_of_the_Riemann_zeta_function

⁸ The wikipedia table goes up to $2j + 1 = 21$

3	180	7	360	
5	1470	5	3024	84
7	56700	19	113400	
9	18523890	625	3712264	74844
11	425675250	1453	851350500	

In 1998, $\zeta(3)$ was known to 32 million digits. And the formulas were confirmed to 50,000 digits.

We will apply the computer confirmed formula for $\zeta(3)$ to show that $\zeta(3)$ is Sequentially Transcendental number.

5. **$\zeta(3)$ is Sequentially
Transcendental Number**

By Plouffe,

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{7}{180} \pi^3 - 2 \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^3} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{4^3} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi} - 1} + \dots \right]$$

By Euler,

$$\frac{\pi^3}{32} = 1 - \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} - \frac{1}{7^3} + \dots$$

$$\frac{7}{180} \pi^3 = \frac{7}{180} 32 \left[1 - \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} - \frac{1}{7^3} + \dots \right]$$

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, define the sequence of rationals

$$p_{3,j} = \frac{7}{180} 32 \left[1 - \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} - \frac{1}{7^3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(2j+1)^3} \right] \rightarrow \frac{7}{180} \pi^3$$

The fraction

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1}$$

is a transcendental number, that expands into the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}}} = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + \dots$$

Each partial sum of the infinite series is transcendental too.

The fraction

$$\frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1}$$

is a transcendental number, that expands into the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} = \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}}} = \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{8\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{12\pi}} + \dots$$

That is included in the series of

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1}$$

The fraction

$$\frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1}$$

is a transcendental number, that expands into the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} = \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}}} = \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{12\pi}} + \frac{1}{e^{18\pi}} + \dots$$

That is included in the series of

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1}$$

Summing up the infinite series of the powers of $\frac{1}{e^{2\pi}}$,

the coefficient of $\frac{1}{e^{2\pi}}$ is $1 = r_1$

the coefficient of $\frac{1}{e^{4\pi}}$ is $1 + \frac{1}{2^3} = r_2$

the coefficient of $\frac{1}{e^{6\pi}}$ is $1 + \frac{1}{3^3} = r_3$

.....

the coefficient of $\frac{1}{e^{2j\pi}}$ is the rational number r_j

Therefore,

$$\left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{e^{4\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{3^3} \frac{1}{e^{6\pi} - 1} + \frac{1}{4^3} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi} - 1} + \dots \right]$$

has partial sums

$$r_1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + r_2 \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + r_3 \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + r_4 \frac{1}{e^{8\pi}} + \dots r_j \frac{1}{e^{2j\pi}}.$$

These sums must be transcendental. Because for any $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, j$, the powers $e^{-2l\pi}$ are linearly independent over the Rationals. Otherwise, if

$$r_1 e^{-2\pi} + r_2 e^{-4\pi} + r_3 e^{-6\pi} + r_4 e^{-8\pi} + \dots r_j e^{-2j\pi} = \alpha \text{ algebraic,}$$

$$-\alpha \text{ algebraic} + r_1 e^{-2\pi} + r_2 e^{-4\pi} + r_3 e^{-6\pi} + r_4 e^{-8\pi} + \dots r_j e^{-2j\pi} = 0,$$

and the transcendental $e^{-2\pi}$ is a zero of a polynomial of degree j .

Therefore, for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, the partial sums

$$\zeta_j(3) = p_{3,j} - 2 \left[r_1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + r_2 \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + r_3 \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + r_4 \frac{1}{e^{8\pi}} + \dots r_j \frac{1}{e^{2j\pi}} \right] \rightarrow \zeta(3)$$

are transcendental numbers.

Because if $\zeta_j(3) = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers, $\zeta_j(3) - p_{3,j} = \text{algebraic}$. And

$$\underbrace{\zeta_j(3) - p_{3,j}}_{\text{algebraic}} = -2 \underbrace{\left[r_1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + r_2 \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + r_3 \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + r_4 \frac{1}{e^{8\pi}} + \dots r_j \frac{1}{e^{2j\pi}} \right]}_{\text{transcendental}}$$

From that contradiction, it follows that $\zeta_j(3) = \text{transcendental}$.

This holds for any $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, including the infinite hyper-real number N . Consequently,

$$\zeta_N(3) = \text{transcendental}$$

$$\zeta_{N+1}(3) = \text{transcendental}$$

$$\zeta_N(3) = p_{3,N} - 2 \left[r_1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + r_2 \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + r_3 \frac{1}{e^{6\pi}} + \dots r_N \frac{1}{e^{2N\pi}} \right].$$

$$\zeta_{N+1}(3) = p_{3,N+1} - 2 \left[r_1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}} + r_2 \frac{1}{e^{4\pi}} + \dots + r_N \frac{1}{e^{2N\pi}} + r_{N+1} \frac{1}{e^{2(N+1)\pi}} \right]$$

$$|\zeta_{N+1}(3) - \zeta_N(3)| \leq \frac{1}{(2N+1)^3} + r_{N+1} \frac{1}{e^{2(N+1)\pi}}$$

$$\leq \text{Order of } \left(\frac{1}{2N+1} \right)^2$$

That is, $\zeta_N(3)$ is infinitesimally close to $\zeta(3)$.

$\zeta(3) = \text{is the limit of a sequence } \zeta_j(3) \text{ of transcendentals.}$

This **Does Not** mean that $\zeta(3)$ is transcendental But that

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite j ,

the partial sum $\zeta_j(3)$ is a transcendental number.

Indeed, a sequence of transcendental numbers need not converge to a transcendental number.

In 2022, we derived⁹ an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

⁹ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + \dots$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers

if π is transcendental, the Partial Sums of the Archimedes Series for 1 are transcendental numbers that converge to the algebraic number 1

And the

Transcendental partial sums 1_n transform to Algebraic 1

We shall say that besides being an Algebraic Number,

1 is a Sequentially Transcendental Number

That is, there is a sequence of transcendentals that converges to 1.

In fact, for an infinite hyper-real N we cannot compute the algebraic partial sum with N transcendental terms.

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum 1_n is Transcendental.

Thus

$\zeta(3)$ is Sequentially Transcendental Number

Meaning that,

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite j ,

the partial sum $\zeta_j(3)$ is a transcendental number.

Similarly,

$\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \dots$, are sequentially transcendental numbers.

6. **$\zeta(3)e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number****Irrationality**

$$\zeta(3)e = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots\right) \left(\frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots\right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\zeta(3)e$

Therefore, $\zeta(3)e$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\zeta(3)e$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\zeta_j(3)e_j = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\zeta_j(3)e_j = (\text{transcendental}) \underbrace{\left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{j!}\right)}_{\frac{p}{j!} = \text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\frac{\zeta_j(3)e_j}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\zeta_j(3)e_j = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic

numbers, $\frac{\zeta_j(3)e_j}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{algebraic}$.

From that contradiction, it follows that $\zeta_j(3)e_j = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{\zeta(3)e = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

7.

$\zeta(3)\pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

$$\zeta(3)\pi = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots\right) 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots\right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\pi + e$

Therefore, $\pi + e$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\pi + e$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\zeta_j(3)\pi_j = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\zeta_j(3)\pi_j = (\text{transcendental}) \underbrace{4\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2j-1}\right)}_{\text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\frac{\zeta_j(3)\pi_j}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\zeta_j(3)\pi_j = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic

numbers, $\frac{\zeta_j(3)\pi_j}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{algebraic}$.

From that contradiction, it follows that $\zeta_j(3)\pi_j = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{\zeta(3)\pi = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

8.

$\zeta(3) + e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

$$\zeta(3) + e = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots\right) + \left(\frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots\right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\zeta(3) + e$

Therefore, $\zeta(3) + e$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\zeta(3) + e$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\zeta_j(3) + e_j = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\zeta_j(3) + e_j = (\text{transcendental}) + \underbrace{\left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{j!}\right)}_{\frac{p}{j!} = \text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\zeta_j(3) + e_j - \text{algebraic} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\zeta_j(3) + e_j = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers, $\zeta_j(3) + e_j - \text{algebraic} = \text{algebraic}$.

From the contradiction, it follows that

$$\zeta_j(3) + e_j = \text{transcendental}. \square$$

This holds for any $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{\zeta(3) + e = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

9.

$\zeta(3) + \pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

$$\zeta(3) + \pi = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots \right) + 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots \right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\zeta(3) + \pi$

Therefore, $\zeta(3) + \pi$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\zeta(3) + \pi$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j = (\text{transcendental}) + \underbrace{4\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2j-1}\right)}_{\text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j - \text{algebraic} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers, $\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j - \text{algebraic} = \text{algebraic}$.

From the contradiction, it follows that

$$\zeta_j(3) + \pi_j = \text{transcendental}. \square$$

This holds for any $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{\zeta(3) + \pi = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

10.

Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence

A number ξ is Transcendental if it is not the root of any n degree polynomial equation with rational coefficients, for any finite natural number n .

This definition excludes any infinite hyper-real number N .
Indeed,

10.1

The Transcendental number π is the root of a polynomial equation with rational coefficients of degree N .

Proof One such polynomial equation of degree N with rational coefficients follows from our 2022 derivation¹⁰ of an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 + \\ + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + ..$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers. \square

Similarly, our definition of sequential Transcendence breaks down for $n = N$

¹⁰ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

We defined a number ξ to be sequentially transcendental if for any finite natural number n there a transcendental number as close as we wish to ξ .

This definition excludes any infinite hyper-real number N . Indeed, if we allow $n = N$, then

10.2

**For an Algebraic, and Sequentially Transcendental α ,
 ξ_N must be algebraic**

For instance, for the Algebraic number 1, the partial sum

$$1_N = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_N \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1}$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

is infinitesimally close to 1,

$$1 = 1_N + \text{infinitesimal}$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{\text{algebraic}}{\downarrow} 1 = \{\text{the standard part of } 1\} = 1_N$$

That is, for any finite n ,

$$1_n = \text{transcendental}$$

But for an infinite hyper-real N

$$1_N = \text{algebraic}$$

It follows that

Our definitions of Transcendental, and Sequentially

Transcendental apply only to finite n

In any event, we cannot compute with any infinite n .

But if we are limited to finite n , then the transcendental π is actually the Leibniz rational partial sum

$$\pi_n = 4 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n-1} \right\}$$

that can be made as close as we can compute to π

And γ is actually the transcendental

$$\gamma_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log(n+1)$$

that can be made as close as we can compute to γ

In other words, since transcendence breaks down at the forever incomprehensible infinity

Sequential Transcendence is way more informative then Transcendence

In 2022, we derived¹¹ an expansion for π , which we named **The Archimedes Series for π**

$$\begin{aligned} \pi = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha(2\pi) + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^3(2\pi) + \frac{2}{15} \alpha^5(2\pi) + \frac{17}{315} \alpha^7(2\pi) + \frac{62}{2835} \alpha^9(2\pi) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \alpha^{11}(2\pi) + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \alpha^{13}(2\pi) + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \alpha^{2n-1}(2\pi) + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(2\pi) = \arctan(2\pi) \approx 1.412965137..$

¹¹ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

and $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers.

The Transcendental partial sums

$$\pi_n = \frac{1}{2} \{ \alpha(2\pi) + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^3(2\pi) + \frac{2}{15} \alpha^5(2\pi) + \frac{17}{315} \alpha^7(2\pi) + \frac{62}{2835} \alpha^9(2\pi) +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \alpha^{11}(2\pi) + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \alpha^{13}(2\pi) + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \alpha^{2n-1}(2\pi) \}$$

represent π better than any inapplicable statement about its not being a root of a polynomial equation.

We conclude that

**Sequential Transcendence is
a superior characterization of a number.**

Appendix

Transcendental Numbers

Liouville $\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^{2!}} + \frac{1}{10^{3!}} + \frac{1}{10^{4!}} + \dots = \textit{transcendental}$

Hermit $e^{\text{rational}} = \textit{transcendental}$

Lindemann $e^{\text{algebraic}} = \textit{transcendental}$

$e^\tau = \text{algebraic} \Rightarrow \tau = \text{transcendental}$

$e^{i\pi} = -1 \Rightarrow i\pi = \text{trans} \Rightarrow \pi = -i \cdot \text{trans} = \text{trans}$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \mathbf{Algebraically Dependent over } \mathbb{Q}$

iff $P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$ where $P(x, y)$ has coefficients from \mathbb{Q}

$\sqrt{\pi}, \pi = \text{algebraically dependent over } \mathbb{Q}$ with $P(x, y) = x^2 - y$.

Lindemann-Weierstrass

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}$

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic, Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow \beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \beta_2 e^{\alpha_2} + \beta_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \text{transcendental}$

α algebraic $\neq 0 \Rightarrow \cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, \tan \alpha = \text{transcendental,}$

α algebraic $\neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow \log \alpha = \text{transcendental}$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic, linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow e^{\alpha_1}, e^{\alpha_2}, e^{\alpha_3} = \text{algebraically independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 e^{\alpha_1} + r_2 e^{\alpha_2} + r_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \text{transcendental for any } r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Baker $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \neq \alpha_3$ algebraic

$$\Rightarrow e^{\alpha_1}, e^{\alpha_2}, e^{\alpha_3} = \text{linearly independent over } \mathbb{A}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \beta_2 e^{\alpha_2} + \beta_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \text{transcendental for any } \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{A}$$

Gelfond

$\alpha \neq 0, 1$ algebraic, $\beta = \text{irrational algebraic}$ $\Rightarrow \alpha^\beta = \text{transcendental}$

$$2^{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$e^\pi = (e^{i\pi})^{-i} = (-1)^{-i},$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi} = (e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi})^i = (i)^i.$$

Gelfond-Schneider

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \text{algebraic} \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{algebraic},$

$1, \beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} = \text{transcendental}$$

Baker

$\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ algebraic $\neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{irrational algebraic},$

$1, \beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{algebraic},$

$\log \alpha_1, \log \alpha_2 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \log \alpha_2 = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider-Baker

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic},$

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \alpha_3^{\beta_3} = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider-Baker

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_0 \neq 0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic},$

$\log \alpha_1, \log \alpha_2, \log \alpha_3 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \log \alpha_2 + \beta_3 \log \alpha_3 = \textit{transcendental}$$

References

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Particular_values_of_the_Riemann_zeta_function

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baker%27s_theorem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gelfond%E2%80%93Schneider_theorem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transcendental_number

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transcendental_number_theory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lindemann%E2%80%93Weierstrass_theorem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_representations_of_e

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_formulae_involving_%CF%80

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irrational_number

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number_field

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number_theory

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_that_pi_is_irrational

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_that_e_is_irrational

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann_zeta_function

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diophantine_approximation

[Baker] Alan Baker, "*Transcendental Number Theory*" Cambridge University Press, 1975.

[Dan1] H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

[Dan2] H. Vic Dannon, "[Euler's Gamma is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 19, No.3, August 2023

[Dan3] H. Vic Dannon, "[All the \$\pi^3\$ series, and the \$\zeta\(3\)\$ series](#)". Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No. 4, November 2022.

[Euler] Euler's letters to James Stirling in "James Stirling, This about Series and such things" Scottish Academic Press 1988, pp. 142-151.

[Feldman]. Feldman & Nesterenko, "*Number Theory IV, Transcendental Numbers*", Springer, 1998

[Finch] Steven Finch, "*Mathematical Constants*", Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[Gelfond] A. O. Gelfond "Transcendental & Algebraic Numbers" Dover, 1960.

[Nahin] Paul J. Nahin, "In Pursuit of Zeta-3, The World's Most Mysterious Unsolved Mathematical Problem", Princeton University Press, 2021.

[Simon Plouffe], "[Identities Inspired by Ramanujan Notebooks](https://web.archive.org/web/20090130142844/http://www.lacim.uqam.ca/~plouffe/identities.html)" July 1998, <https://web.archive.org/web/20090130142844/http://www.lacim.uqam.ca/~plouffe/identities.html>