

Euler's Gamma is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

H. Vic Dannon

vic0@comcast.net

December 2022

Abstract A number is algebraic if it is a zero of a polynomial in integer coefficients.

The algebraic numbers form a field with respect to addition, and multiplication. The sum, and product of two algebraic numbers is an algebraic number.

A number that is not algebraic, transcends algebraic numbers, and is called transcendental. The following are believed to be transcendental

e (Hermit),

π (Lindemann),

e^{rational} (Hermit),

$e^{\text{algebraic}}$ (Lindemann),

$(\text{algebraic})^{\text{irrational algebraic}}$ (Gelfond-Schneider).

$$e^{\pi} = (e^{i\pi})^{-i} = (-1)^{-i}$$

$$e^{n\pi} = (e^{i\pi})^{-ni} = (-1)^{-ni}$$

Euler defined his Gamma Constant γ by the infinite sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N}\right) - \left(\log 2 + \log \frac{3}{2} + \log \frac{4}{3} + \dots + \log \frac{N+1}{N}\right) = \\ & = (1 - \log 2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \log \frac{4}{3}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

And computed it with his summation formula.

$$\gamma = 0.577218\dots$$

Vacca expanded Gamma in infinite series of reciprocals of all the natural numbers.

The series sum has a denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers. But there is no number that is divided by all the primes. Hence, no number for the denominator of a rational number that equals the series.

Therefore,

Euler's Gamma is Irrational.

The same argument applies to show that π , e , $\zeta(3)$, $\zeta(5)$, $\zeta(7)$, ... are Not rational numbers.

Vacca series expansion of Gamma applies to show that

Gamma is Not a Liouville number.

And we cannot say that Gamma is transcendental on account of its being a Liouville number which it is not.

We show that

Gamma is the limit of a sequence γ_n of transcendentals.

This **Does Not** mean that Gamma is transcendental But that

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum γ_n is a transcendental number.

Indeed, a sequence of transcendentals need not converge to a transcendental number.

In 2022, we derived¹ an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 + \\ + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + ..$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers

Since π is transcendental, the Partial Sums of the Archimedes Series for 1 are transcendental numbers that converge to the algebraic number 1

And the

Transcendental partial sums 1_n transform to Algebraic 1

We shall say that besides being an Algebraic Number,

1 is a Sequentially Transcendental Number

That is, there is a sequence of transcendentals that converges to 1. In fact, for an infinite hyper-real N we cannot compute the algebraic partial sum with N transcendental terms.

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum 1_n is Transcendental.

Similarly, for γ

¹ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

**As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,
the partial sum γ_n is a Transcendental number.**

This leads us to discuss the meaning of Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence.

And we conclude that

**Sequential Transcendence is
a superior characterization of a number.**

Contents

1. Zeta Series for Gamma
 2. Gamma is Irrational
 3. Gamma is Not a Liouville Number
 4. Gamma is Sequentially Transcendental
 5. e^γ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 6. $e^{\pi\gamma}$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 7. γe is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 8. $\gamma\pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 9. $\gamma + e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 10. $\gamma + \pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental
 11. Bernoulli Series for Gamma
 12. Fast Converging Bernoulli Series
 13. Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence
- Appendix, Transcendental Numbers
- References

1.

Zeta Series for Gamma

For an infinite Hyper-real N , Euler defined

$$\gamma = (1 - \log 2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \log \frac{4}{3} \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N} \right)$$

Proof: the Harmonic Series is

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N+1} + \dots$$

Euler defined $s(N)$ by

$$ds(N) = \frac{1}{N+1} dN.$$

$$s(N) = \gamma + \log(1 + N)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} - \log(1 + N)$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} \right) - \left(\log 2 + \log \frac{3}{2} + \log \frac{4}{3} + \dots + \log \frac{N+1}{N} \right)$$

$$= (1 - \log 2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \log \frac{4}{3} \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N} \right). \square$$

From this γ can be expanded in zeta series

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2) - \frac{1}{3}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4) - \frac{1}{5}\zeta(5) + \dots = 0.577218\dots$$

$$\zeta(2) = 1.6449340668482264364\dots$$

$$\zeta(3) = 1.2020569031595942853\dots$$

$$\zeta(4) = 1.0823232337111381915\dots$$

$$\zeta(5) = 1.0369277551433699263\dots$$

$$\zeta(6) = 1.0173430619844491397\dots$$

$$\zeta(7) = 1.0083492773819228268\dots$$

$$\zeta(8) = 1.0040773561979443393\dots$$

$$\zeta(9) = 1.0020083928260822144\dots$$

$$\zeta(10) = 1.0009945751278180853\dots$$

$$\zeta(11) = 1.0004941886041194645\dots$$

$$\zeta(12) = 1.0002460865533080482\dots$$

$$\zeta(13) = 1.0001227133475784891\dots$$

$$\zeta(14) = 1.0000612481350587048\dots$$

$$\zeta(15) = 1.0000305882363070204\dots$$

$$\zeta(16) = 1.0000152822594086518\dots$$

.....

Proof:

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{1}\right) = \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{1 - \log 2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} - \dots}$$

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^4} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^5} - \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2} - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^4} - \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^5} + \dots}$$

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 3^3} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3^4} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 3^5} - \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{3} - \log \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^2} - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 3^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3^4} - \frac{1}{5 \cdot 3^5} + \dots}$$

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4^3} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 4^4} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 4^5} - \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{4} - \log \frac{5}{4} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^2} - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 4^4} - \frac{1}{5 \cdot 4^5} + \dots}$$

.....

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot N^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot N^3} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot N^4} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot N^5} - \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot N^2} - \frac{1}{3 \cdot N^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot N^4} - \frac{1}{5 \cdot N^5} + \dots}$$

$$\gamma = (1 - \log 2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \log \frac{4}{3}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{N^2}\right)}_{\zeta(2)}$$

$$- \frac{1}{3} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N^3}\right)}_{\zeta(3)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{3^4} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^4} + \dots + \frac{1}{N^4} \right)}_{\zeta(4)}$$

.....

2.

γ is Irrational

Vacca Series² for γ

$$\gamma = 1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{7} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{14} - \frac{1}{15} \right) + \dots + m \left(\frac{1}{2^m} - \frac{1}{2^m + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m+1} - 2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1} - 1} \right) + \dots$$

γ is Irrational

Proof:

$$\gamma = 1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{8 \cdot 9} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 11} + \frac{1}{12 \cdot 13} + \frac{1}{14 \cdot 15} \right) + \dots + m \left(\frac{1}{2^m(2^m + 1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{(2^{m+1} - 2)(2^{m+1} - 1)} \right) + \dots$$

² Steven R. Finch, "Mathematical Constants", Cambridge U Press, 2003, p.31

$$\gamma = 0.57721\ 56649\ 01532\ \dots$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that is the product of all the natural numbers,

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots = N!$$

which includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals Gamma.

Therefore, Gamma is Not a rational number.

That is, Gamma is Irrational. \square

3. **γ is Not a Liouville Number**

Liouville showed that

If

$\alpha =$ the zero of a reduced polynomial

$P_n(x)$ of order n ,

and if α is the limit of a sequence of rational numbers,

$$\frac{p_m}{q_m},$$

so that

p_m , and q_m are relatively prime,

And if there is a constant $C_m > 0$ so that

$$q_m > C_m$$

Then,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| > \left(\frac{1}{q_m} \right)^{n+1}$$

The negation of this statement is a criteria for transcendence.

If

$\tau =$ limit of a sequence of rational numbers,

$\frac{p_m}{q_m}$, so that p_m , and q_m are relatively prime,

and if for each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

4.

γ is Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$\gamma_n \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log(n+1) = \text{transcendental}$$

Proof:

$$\gamma_n \equiv \underbrace{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}}_{\text{rational}} + \underbrace{\log \frac{1}{n+1}}_{\text{transcendental}}$$

By Lindemann, $e^\tau = \text{algebraic} \Rightarrow \tau = \text{transcendental}$

Therefore,

$$e^{\log \frac{1}{N+1}} = \frac{1}{N+1} = \text{algebraic} \Rightarrow \log \frac{1}{N+1} = \text{transcendental}$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_n - \frac{p_n}{n!} = \text{transcendental.}$$

If $\gamma_n = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers,

$$\gamma_n - \frac{p_n}{n!} = \text{algebraic.}$$

From that contradiction, it follows that $\gamma_n = \text{transcendental.} \square$

For the infinite hyper-real number N ,

$$\gamma_N = (1 - \log 2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \log \frac{3}{2} \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{N+1} &= (1 - \log 2) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \log \frac{N+1}{N} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{N+1} - \log \frac{N+2}{N+1} \right) \\
\gamma_{N+1} - \gamma_N &= \frac{1}{N+1} - \log \frac{N+2}{N+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{N+1} - \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{N+1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N+1} - \left(\frac{1}{N+1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^3 - \dots \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^3 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^4 - \dots \\
&= \text{Order of } \left(\frac{1}{N+1} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

That is, γ_N is infinitesimally close to γ .

Gamma is the limit of a sequence γ_n of transcendentals.

This **Does Not** mean that Gamma is transcendental But that

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n

the approximation γ_n is transcendental.

Indeed, a sequence of transcendentals need not converge to a transcendental number.

In 2022, we derived³ an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

³ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + ..$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers

Since π is transcendental, the Partial Sums of the Archimedes Series for 1 are transcendental numbers that converge to the algebraic number 1

And the

Transcendental partial sums 1_n transform to Algebraic 1

We shall say that besides being an Algebraic Number,

1 is a Sequentially Transcendental Number

That is, there is a sequence of transcendental numbers that converges to 1.

In fact, for an infinite hyper-real N we cannot compute the algebraic partial sum with N transcendental terms.

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum 1_n is a Transcendental number.

We conclude that

γ is Sequentially Transcendental Number

Meaning that,

As far as we can ever compute, for any finite n ,

the partial sum γ_n is a transcendental number.

5. **e^γ is Irrational and Sequentially
Transcendental Number****Irrationality**

Using Vacca expansion for γ

$$e^\gamma = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{8 \cdot 9} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 11} + \frac{1}{12 \cdot 13} + \frac{1}{14 \cdot 15} \right) + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{8 \cdot 9} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 11} + \frac{1}{12 \cdot 13} + \frac{1}{14 \cdot 15} \right) \right)^2 + \dots$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals e^γ

Therefore, e^γ is Not a rational number.

That is, e^γ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $e^{\gamma_n} = \text{Transcendental}$

Proof:

$$e^{\gamma_n} = e^{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log 2 - \log \frac{3}{2} - \log \frac{4}{3} - \dots - \log \frac{n+1}{n}}$$

By Hermit, $e^{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}} = e^{\text{rational}} = \text{transcendental}$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma_n} &= (\text{transcendental}) e^{\log \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{3}{4} \dots \log \frac{n}{n+1}} \\ &= (\text{transcendental}) \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{3}{4} \dots \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n}{n+1}}_{\frac{1}{n+1} = \text{algebraic}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(n + 1)e^{\gamma_n} = \text{transcendental}.$$

If $e^{\gamma_n} = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers,

$$(n + 1)e^{\gamma_n} = \text{algebraic}.$$

From that contradiction it follows that $e^{\gamma_n} = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{e^{\gamma} = \text{Sequentially Transcendental}}$$

6.

$e^{\pi\gamma}$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

Using Vacca expansion for γ , and Leibniz expansion for π

$$e^{\gamma\pi} = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + \dots \right) 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots \right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + \dots \right)^2 4^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots \right)^2 + \dots$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $e^{\gamma\pi}$

Therefore, $e^{\gamma\pi}$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $e^{\gamma\pi}$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{e^{\pi_n \gamma_n} = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{\gamma_n \pi_n} &= e^{\underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right)}_{\text{rational}}} \\
 &\quad \times e^{\left[-\log 2 - \log \frac{3}{2} - \log \frac{4}{3} - \dots - \log \frac{n+1}{n}\right] 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right)} \\
 &= (\text{Transcendental}) \underbrace{\left([e^{\log \frac{1}{2}}][e^{\log \frac{2}{3}}][e^{\log \frac{3}{4}}] \dots [e^{\log \frac{n}{n+1}}]\right)}_{\frac{1}{n+1}} 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right) \\
 &= (\text{transcendental}) \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\underbrace{(n+1)}_{\text{Algebraic}} 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right) e^{\pi_n \gamma_n} = \text{transcendental}.$$

If $e^{\pi_n \gamma_n} = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic

$$\text{numbers, } \underbrace{(n+1)}_{\text{Algebraic}} 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1}\right) e^{\pi_n \gamma_n} = \text{algebraic}.$$

From that contradiction, it follows that $e^{\pi_n \gamma_n} = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{e^{\pi \gamma} = \text{Sequentially Transcendental}}$$

7. **γe is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number****Irrationality**

Using Vacca expansion for γ , and Euler's expansion for e

$$\gamma e = \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + \dots \right) \left(\frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots \right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $e^{\gamma\pi}$

Therefore, $e^{\gamma\pi}$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $e^{\gamma\pi}$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\gamma_n e_n = \text{transcendental}$

Proof:

$$\gamma_n e_n = (\text{transcendental}) \underbrace{\left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}\right)}_{\text{rational algebraic}}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\gamma_n e_n}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{transcendental.}$$

If $\gamma_n e_n = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic

numbers, $\frac{\gamma_n e_n}{\text{algebraic}} = \frac{\text{algebraic}}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{algebraic}.$

From that contradiction, it follows that $\gamma_n e_n = \text{transcendental}.$ \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, including the hyper-real number N . Consequently,

$$\boxed{\gamma e = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

8.

$\gamma\pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

Using Vacca expansion for γ , and Leibniz expansion for π

$$\gamma\pi = \left(1\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2\left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7}\right) + \dots\right) 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots\right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\gamma\pi$

Therefore, $\gamma\pi$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\gamma\pi$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\gamma_n \pi_n = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\gamma_n \pi_n = (\text{transcendental}) \underbrace{4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n-1} \right)}_{\text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\frac{\gamma_n \pi_n}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\gamma_n \pi_n = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic

numbers, $\frac{\gamma_n \pi_n}{\text{algebraic}} = \text{algebraic}$.

From that contradiction, it follows that $\gamma_n \pi_n = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$\gamma\pi = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}$

9.

$\gamma + e$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

Using Vacca expansion for γ , and Euler's expansion for e

$$\gamma + e = \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + \dots \right) + \left(\frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots \right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\gamma + e$

Therefore, $\gamma + e$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\gamma + e$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\gamma_n + e_n = \text{transcendental}$

Proof:

$$\gamma_n + e_n = (\text{transcendental}) + \underbrace{\left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}\right)}_{\text{rational algebraic}}$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_n + e_n - \text{algebraic} = \text{transcendental.}$$

If $\gamma_n + e_n = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers, $\gamma_n + e_n - \text{algebraic} = \text{algebraic}$.

From the contradiction, it follows that $\gamma_n + e_n = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$$\boxed{\gamma + e = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$$

10.

$\gamma + \pi$ is Irrational and Sequentially Transcendental Number

Irrationality

Using Vacca expansion for γ , and Leibniz expansion for π

$$\gamma + \pi = \left(1 \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot 7} \right) + \dots \right) + 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots \right)$$

is the sum of infinitely many rational numbers with common denominator that includes the product of all the prime numbers

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots$$

There is no finite natural number

$$q$$

that is divided by all the primes.

Thus, there is no rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ that equals $\gamma + \pi$

Therefore, $\gamma + \pi$ is Not a rational number.

That is, $\gamma + \pi$ is Irrational. \square

Sequentially Transcendental

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\boxed{\gamma_n + \pi_n = \text{transcendental}}$

Proof:

$$\gamma_n + \pi_n = (\text{transcendental}) + 4 \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n-1} \right)}_{\text{algebraic}}$$

Therefore, $\gamma_n + \pi_n - \text{algebraic} = \text{transcendental}$.

If $\gamma_n + \pi_n = \text{algebraic}$, then by the field property of algebraic numbers, $\gamma_n + \pi_n - \text{algebraic} = \text{algebraic}$.

From the contradiction, it follows that

$\gamma_n + \pi_n = \text{transcendental}$. \square

This holds for any $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

Consequently,

$\boxed{\gamma + \pi = \text{Sequentially Transcendental Number}}$

11.

Bernoulli Series for γ

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}B_2 + \frac{1}{4}B_4 + \frac{1}{6}B_6 + \frac{1}{8}B_8 + \frac{1}{10}B_{10} + \frac{1}{12}B_{12} + \frac{1}{14}B_{14} + \frac{1}{16}B_{16} + \dots$$

The Bernoulli Numbers are all rational numbers:

$$\frac{1}{2}B_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \approx [8.(3)]10^{-2}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}B_4 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{-1}{30} \approx -[8.(3)]10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{1}{6}B_6 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{42} \approx [4]10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{1}{8}B_8 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{-1}{30} \approx -[4.1(6)]10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{1}{10}B_{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{5}{66} \approx [7.(57)]10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{1}{12}B_{12} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{-691}{2730} \approx -[2.1]10^{-2}$$

$$\frac{1}{14}B_{14} = \frac{1}{14} \frac{7}{6} \approx [8.(3)]10^{-2}$$

$$\frac{1}{16}B_{16} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{-3617}{510} \approx -[4.432] \times 10^{-1}$$

$$\frac{1}{18}B_{18} = \frac{1}{18} \frac{43867}{798} \approx 3.053954330$$

$$\frac{1}{20}B_{20} = \frac{1}{20} \frac{-174611}{330} \approx -26.4562(12)$$

.....

Proof:

By Euler-Maclaurin Summation,

$$\frac{1}{1} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} - \int_{x=1}^{x=N} \frac{1}{x} dx =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right)}_1 + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{[-x^{-2}]_{x=1}^{x=N}}_1 + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{-1}{30} \underbrace{[-3!x^{-4}]_{x=1}^{x=N}}_{3!} + \frac{1}{6!} \frac{1}{42} \underbrace{[-5!x^{-6}]_{x=1}^{x=N}}_{5!} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8!} \frac{-1}{30} \underbrace{[-7!x^{-8}]_{x=1}^{x=N}}_{7!} + \frac{1}{10!} \frac{5}{66} \underbrace{[-9!x^{-10}]_{x=1}^{x=N}}_{9!} + \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{30} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{42} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{-1}{30} + \frac{1}{5} \frac{5}{66} + \dots\right)
\end{aligned}$$

12.

Fast-Converging Bernoulli Series

$$\gamma \Big|_{n=10} = 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{10} - \log 10 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{B_2}_{\frac{1}{6}} \frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{B_4}_{\frac{-1}{30}} \frac{1}{10^4} + \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{B_6}_{\frac{1}{42}} \frac{1}{10^6} + \frac{1}{8} \underbrace{B_8}_{\frac{-1}{30}} \frac{1}{10^8} + \frac{1}{10} \underbrace{B_{10}}_{\frac{5}{66}} \frac{1}{10^{10}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{1}{2} B_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \approx [8.(3)]10^{-2} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-2} \approx [8.(3)]10^{-4}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} B_4 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{-1}{30} \approx -[8.(3)]10^{-3} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-4} \approx -[8.(3)]10^{-7}$$

$$\frac{1}{6} B_6 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{42} \approx [4]10^{-3} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-6} \approx [4]10^{-9}$$

$$\frac{1}{8} B_8 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{-1}{30} \approx -[4.1(6)]10^{-3} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-8} \approx -[4.1(6)]10^{-11}$$

$$\frac{1}{10} B_{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{5}{66} \approx [7.(57)]10^{-3} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-10} = [7.(57)]10^{-13}$$

$$\frac{1}{12} B_{12} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{-691}{2730} \approx -[2.1]10^{-2} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-12} \approx -[2.1]10^{-14}$$

$$\frac{1}{14} B_{14} = \frac{1}{14} \frac{7}{6} \approx [8.(3)]10^{-2} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-14} = [8.(3)] \times 10^{-16}$$

$$\frac{1}{16} B_{16} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{-3617}{510} \approx -[4.432] \times 10^{-1} \Rightarrow \times 10^{-16} \approx -[4.432] \times 10^{-17}$$

$$\frac{1}{18} B_{18} = \frac{1}{18} \frac{43867}{798} \approx 3.053954330 \Rightarrow \times 10^{-18} \approx [3.05395433]10^{-18}$$

$$\frac{1}{20} B_{20} = \frac{1}{20} \frac{-174611}{330} \approx -26.4562(12) \Rightarrow \times 10^{-20} \approx [2.64562(12)]10^{-19}$$

Proof:

$$1 + \dots + \frac{1}{N} - \int_{x=1}^{x=N} \frac{1}{x} dx = 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \underbrace{\int_{x=1}^{x=n} \frac{1}{x} dx}_{\log n} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} - \int_{x=n}^{x=N} \frac{1}{x} dx \right\}$$

By Euler-Maclaurin summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} - \int_{x=n}^{x=N} \frac{1}{x} dx = \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{N}\right)}_{n^{-1}} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{6} \underbrace{[-x^{-2}]_{x=n}^{x=N}}_{n^{-2}} + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{-1}{30} \underbrace{[-3!x^{-4}]_{x=n}^{x=N}}_{3!n^{-4}} + \frac{1}{6!} \frac{1}{42} \underbrace{[-5!x^{-6}]_{x=n}^{x=N}}_{5!n^{-6}} + \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{8!} \frac{-1}{30} \underbrace{[-7!x^{-8}]_{x=n}^{x=N}}_{7!n^{-8}} + \frac{1}{10!} \frac{5}{66} \underbrace{[-9!x^{-10}]_{x=n}^{x=N}}_{9!n^{-10}} + \dots \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{-1}{30} \frac{1}{n^4} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{42} \frac{1}{n^6} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{-1}{30} \frac{1}{n^8} + \frac{1}{10} \frac{5}{66} \frac{1}{n^{10}} + \dots \\
 \gamma \Big|_{n=10} & = 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{10} - \log 10 + \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{10^4} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{42} \frac{1}{10^6} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{10^8} + \frac{1}{10} \frac{5}{66} \frac{1}{10^{10}} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

13.

Sequential Transcendence versus Transcendence

A number ξ is Transcendental if it is not the root of any n degree polynomial equation with rational coefficients, for any finite natural number n .

This definition excludes any infinite hyper-real number N .
Indeed,

13.1

The Transcendental number π is the root of a polynomial equation with rational coefficients of degree N .

Proof One such polynomial equation of degree N with rational coefficients follows from our 2022 derivation⁴ of an expansion for 1, which we named **The Archimedes Series for 1**

$$1 = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1} + ..$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers. \square

Similarly, our definition of sequential Transcendence breaks down for $n = N$

⁴ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

We defined a number ξ to be sequentially transcendental if for any finite natural number n there a transcendental number as close as we wish to ξ .

This definition excludes any infinite hyper-real number N . Indeed, if we allow $n = N$, then

13.2

**For an Algebraic, and Sequentially Transcendental α ,
 ξ_N must be algebraic**

For instance, for the Algebraic number 1, the partial sum

$$1_N = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^5 + \frac{17}{315} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^7 + \frac{62}{2835} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^9 +$$

$$+ \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{11} + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{13} + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_N \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n-1}$$

where $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

is infinitesimally close to 1,

$$1 = 1_N + \text{infinitesimal}$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{\text{algebraic}}{\downarrow} 1 = \{\text{the standard part of } 1\} = 1_N$$

That is, for any finite n ,

$$1_n = \text{transcendental}$$

But for an infinite hyper-real N

$$1_N = \text{algebraic}$$

It follows that

Our definitions of Transcendental, and Sequentially

Transcendental apply only to finite n

In any event, we cannot compute with any infinite n .

But if we are limited to finite n , then the transcendental π is actually the Leibniz rational partial sum

$$\pi_n = 4 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n - 1} \right\}$$

that can be made as close as we can compute to π

And γ is actually the transcendental

$$\gamma_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots \frac{1}{n} - \log(n + 1)$$

that can be made as close as we can compute to γ

In other words, since transcendence breaks down at the forever incomprehensible infinity

**Sequential Transcendence is way more informative then
Transcendence**

In 2022, we derived⁵ an expansion for π , which we named **The Archimedes Series for π**

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha(2\pi) + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^3(2\pi) + \frac{2}{15} \alpha^5(2\pi) + \frac{17}{315} \alpha^7(2\pi) + \frac{62}{2835} \alpha^9(2\pi) + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \alpha^{11}(2\pi) + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \alpha^{13}(2\pi) + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \alpha^{2n-1}(2\pi) + \dots \right\}$$

where $\alpha(2\pi) = \arctan(2\pi) \approx 1.412965137..$

⁵ H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

and $B_n =$ Bernoulli Numbers.

The Transcendental partial sums

$$\pi_n = \frac{1}{2} \{ \alpha(2\pi) + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^3(2\pi) + \frac{2}{15} \alpha^5(2\pi) + \frac{17}{315} \alpha^7(2\pi) + \frac{62}{2835} \alpha^9(2\pi) + \\ + \frac{(819)(691)}{3^6 5^2 7(11)(91)} \alpha^{11}(2\pi) + \frac{5461}{3^5 5^2 7(11)(13)} \alpha^{13}(2\pi) + \dots + \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n}-1)}{(2n)!} B_n \alpha^{2n-1}(2\pi) \}$$

represent π better than any inapplicable statement about its not being a root of a polynomial equation.

We conclude that

**Sequential Transcendence is
a superior characterization of a number.**

Appendix

Transcendental Numbers

Liouville $\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^{2!}} + \frac{1}{10^{3!}} + \frac{1}{10^{4!}} + \dots = \textit{transcendental}$

Hermit $e^{\text{rational}} = \textit{transcendental}$

Lindemann $e^{\text{algebraic}} = \textit{transcendental}$

$e^{\tau} = \text{algebraic} \Rightarrow \tau = \textit{transcendental}$

$e^{i\pi} = -1 \Rightarrow i\pi = \text{trans} \Rightarrow \pi = -i \cdot \text{trans} = \text{trans}$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \textbf{Algebraically Dependent over } \mathbb{Q}$

iff $P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$ where $P(x, y)$ has coefficients from \mathbb{Q}

$\sqrt{\pi}, \pi = \text{algebraically dependent over } \mathbb{Q}$ with $P(x, y) = x^2 - y$.

Lindemann-Weierstrass

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}$

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic, Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow \beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \beta_2 e^{\alpha_2} + \beta_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \textit{transcendental}$

α algebraic $\neq 0 \Rightarrow \cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, \tan \alpha = \textit{transcendental}$,

α algebraic $\neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow \log \alpha = \textit{transcendental}$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic, linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow e^{\alpha_1}, e^{\alpha_2}, e^{\alpha_3} = \text{algebraically independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 e^{\alpha_1} + r_2 e^{\alpha_2} + r_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \text{transcendental for any } r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Baker $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \neq \alpha_3$ algebraic

$$\Rightarrow e^{\alpha_1}, e^{\alpha_2}, e^{\alpha_3} = \text{linearly independent over } \mathbb{A}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \beta_2 e^{\alpha_2} + \beta_3 e^{\alpha_3} = \text{transcendental for any } \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{A}$$

Gelfond

$\alpha \neq 0, 1$ algebraic, $\beta = \text{irrational algebraic}$ $\Rightarrow \alpha^\beta = \text{transcendental}$

$$2^{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$e^\pi = (e^{i\pi})^{-i} = (-1)^{-i},$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi} = (e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi})^i = (i)^i.$$

Gelfond-Schneider

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \text{algebraic} \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{algebraic},$

$1, \beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} = \text{transcendental}$$

Baker

$\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ algebraic $\neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{irrational algebraic},$

$1, \beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_1, \beta_2 = \text{algebraic},$

$\log \alpha_1, \log \alpha_2 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \log \alpha_2 = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider-Baker

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic},$

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \alpha_3^{\beta_3} = \textit{transcendental}$$

Gelfond-Schneider-Baker

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \text{algebraic}, \neq 0, 1$

$\beta_0 \neq 0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 = \text{algebraic},$

$\log \alpha_1, \log \alpha_2, \log \alpha_3 = \text{Linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \log \alpha_2 + \beta_3 \log \alpha_3 = \textit{transcendental}$$

References

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler%27s_constant
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bernoulli_number
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Particular_values_of_the_Riemann_zeta_function
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Schanuel%27s_conjecture
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baker%27s_theorem
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gelfond%E2%80%93Schneider_theorem
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transcendental_number
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transcendental_number_theory
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lindemann%E2%80%93Weierstrass_theorem
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_representations_of_e
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_formulae_involving_%CF%80
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irrational_number
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number_field
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algebraic_number_theory
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_that_pi_is_irrational
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_that_e_is_irrational
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann_zeta_function
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diophantine_approximation

[Dan] H. Vic Dannon, "[Archimedes Series](#)", Gauge Institute Journal, Vol. 18, No 3. August 2022, pp 1-11

[Euler] Leonard Euler, "De Progressionibus Harmonicis Observationes", Opera Omnia, Series 1, Volume 14, pp. 87-103.

[Goldman] Jay R. Goldman, "The Queen of Mathematics, A Historically Motivated Guide to Number Theory"

[Mckinzie] Mark Mckinzie, "Euler's Observations on Harmonic Progressions" in "Euler at 300", MAA, 2007, pp. 131-141.